	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	09/924,926	SAID, AMIR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Ferris	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED i) or other appropriate comm RIGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due cou	ırse. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>27 November 2006</u> .		•	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23</u> .			
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have a linear and a	re been received. re been received in Applicar ocuments have been receive " of this communication to f MENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached Exes reason(s) why the oath ust be submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Revi —. r's Amendment / Comment	tion No The direction of the control of th	ements
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	the header according to 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	osit of BIOLOGICAL MA FFOR THE DEPOSIT OF E	TERIAL must be submitted. Note HOLOGICAL MATERIAL.	e the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	6. ⊠ Interview Paper No 7. ⊠ Examiner	Informal Patent Application Summary (PTO-413), b./Mail Date 's Amendment/Comment 's Statement of Reasons for Allowal FRED FERRIS PRIMARY EXAMINATED	S NER
		122	

Application/Control Number: 09/924,926

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed 27 November 2006. Amended claims 1-23 remain pending in this application and have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the Specification:

On page 4, paragraph [0021], line 6, **delete** the phrase "(Attorney docket no. PDNO 10006298-1)" and **insert** the phrase <u>09/912278</u> **before** the phrase "filed July 24, 2001"

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 27 November 2006 have been fully considered and found to be persuasive. The previous 35 USC § 101 and 103(a) rejections are now withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to independent claims 1, 13, and 23 and supporting arguments filed 27 November 2006.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,926

Art Unit: 2128

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-23 have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicants are disclosing a method, system, and computer code for identifying at least one predominant color in a digital image that includes testing randomly selected specific colors based on statistical probability. This has been disclosed in the prior art of record.

While these elements are individually disclosed in the prior art, the prior art of record does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an ipsissimis verbis test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

In particular, the prior art of record does not disclose the specific arrangement of elements sequence of method steps that includes the combination of identifying at least one predominant color in a digital image by applying a <u>detection rule</u> to <u>randomly</u> <u>selected pixels</u> in the image to <u>reduce the probability</u> of at least on false-positive and a false-negative outcome as now required by independent claims 1, 13, and 23. (See: specification paragraphs 0008, 0032-0034, for example)

The closest prior art uncovered during examination teaches certain limitations of the claimed invention as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/924,926

Art Unit: 2128

- <u>US Patent 5,853,625 issued to Fitzpatrick</u>: teaches identifying at least one predominate color in a digital image and applying a detection rule to randomly-selected pixels in the image. However, Fitzpatrick does not explicitly disclose the combination of identifying at least one predominant color in a digital image by applying a <u>detection rule</u> to <u>randomly selected pixels</u> in the image to <u>reduce the probability</u> of at least on false-positive and a false-negative outcome as now required by independent claims 1, 13, and 23.

- <u>U.S. Patent 5,891,394 issued to Drocourt</u>: teaches minimizing the probability of obtaining false-positive and/or false-negative results and analyzing digital samples along a stored scan line, but again does not teach the combination of identifying at least one predominant color in a digital image by applying a <u>detection rule</u> to <u>randomly</u> <u>selected pixels</u> in the image to <u>reduce the probability</u> of at least on false-positive and a false-negative outcome as now required by independent claims 1, 13, and 23.

The features noted above render the claimed invention non-obvious over the prior art of record. Dependent claims 1-12, and 14-22 are deemed allowable as being dependent from independent claims 1, and 13 respectively.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/924,926

Art Unit: 2128

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 571-272-3778 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3700. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached at 571-272-2279. The Official Fax Number is: (571) 272 8300

Fred Terris, Primary Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Room 5D19
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22313
Phone: (571-272-3778)
Fred Ferris@uspto.gov
11 November 2006

FRED FERRIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100